1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we went to Terre Haute with
2	the expressed purpose of letting him go, because he just
3	wasn't working out with the format. Every time I would go
4	over there and tweak, fine tune the format, he would you
5	know, then I would go back to my station, he would start
6	veering back off focus again
7	So Mike finally decided that it was time to get
8	rid of him, and that's when we moved Ben Jacobs Orzeske from
9	KFMZ to WZZQ
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay You said Mike, Mike
11	thought it was time to get rid of him.
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah
13	JUDGE STEINBERG: How did you know that?
14	THE WITNESS: Oh, he told me so.
15	JUDGE STEINBERG: What did he say?
16	THE WITNESS: He just said, "How come whenever]
17	go to Terre Haute after we had been there the station sounds
18	fine when we are leaving, then I call it up on a listen line
19	a month later and it doesn't sound like the same station
20	that we had when we were there?"
21	And I said, "The only answer I have is that he is
22	not following my direction."
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: And then what happened?
24	THE WITNESS: Well I went back over and fixed at
25	again. And there was a point when frustration came about

- and Mike just said, "Well, that's it. We are going to do
- 2 something different."
- I said, "Okay, do you want me to start a search?"
- He says, "Yeah, I'll do a little looking around
- 5 too."
- And then I had suggested that Ben Jacobs be moved,
- 7 he had been my music director at KFMZ, I suggested he be
- 8 moved from KFMZ over to WZZQ, and Mike thought that was a
- 9 pretty good idea, thinking that you know, somebody that had
- worked under me could help keep that format focused. So
- that was the thinking behind that. And so that's why Mark
- 12 Savage was let go.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay Now, you said you went to
- 14 Terre Haute. Where did you leave from?
- THE WITNESS: I left from Columbia, and then I
- 16 picked up Janet and Mike at their yeah, I think they were
- in St. Peters at that time, the St. Peters office; their
- 18 office in the West County area
- And we drove over, and fired him.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay who drove?
- THE WITNESS: I did.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And how long a drive is that, or
- 23 was it?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Oh, I think from St. Louis it was
- about four hours.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, did you have any talks in
- the car on the ride over?
- THE WITNESS: On the ride over. Well, we talked
- 4 about -- I mean, anytime you get me and Mike and Janet all
- 5 together you're going to talk about radio in some facet.
- 6 You know, we would scan the radio dial, listen to other disc
- 7 jockeys. So it was a real it was a radio pow-wow; you
- 8 know, radio junkies getting together and talking, especially
- 9 between me and Mike cause we both love radio so much.
- But specifically I don't recall anything going
- 11 over.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, you went to Terre Haute,
- and then what happened in Terre Haute?
- 14 THE WITNESS: We met in John Rhea's office. He
- 15 was the general manager at the time. And Mike and I sat
- there with John Rhea and Janet went downstairs. The PD's
- office was downstairs in the building. Went downstairs and
- 18 fired him.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay Janet went downstairs and
- 20 fired him?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: But you weren't there when he
- 23 was --
- THE WITNESS: NO.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: When Mr. Savage was fired?

- THE WITNESS: No. Janet was the only one there.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay But you and Mr. Rice and
- 3 Mr. Rhea were having a conversation at that time?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. Yeah, he was saying -- and
- 5 that's where that phrase, "You know, I can't do that
- 6 anymore. Janet has to do that," you know, where that kind
- 7 of stuff came up.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And that came up when you were
- 9 talking with Mr. Rhea and Mr Rice?
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 11 JUDGE STEINBERG: When Janet Cox was firing Mr.
- 12 Savage?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay To the best of your
- recollection, how did that go? How did that conversation
- 16 go?
- 17 THE WITNESS: That's really the most that I
- 18 remember.
- MR. GAFFNEY: I would object to that, Your Honor,
- 20 insofar as I think the testimony now is that Janet Cox is
- 21 out of the room. How can this be --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: No. no. no. Janet Cox is --
- 23 MR. GAFFNEY: I misunderstood Your Honor's
- 24 question.
- 25 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Oh, I -- no, I broke in

- too quickly. I didn't let you finish your objection.
- 2 Finish your objection.
- MR. GAFFNEY: To the extent the question asks what
- 4 the conversations were with Janet Cox out of the room, I
- 5 can't see how this can be rebuttal to any of Janet Cox's
- 6 testimony on this issue or rebuttal to any of the testimony
- 7 in this issue. I think we have in the room now Mr. Hanks,
- 8 Mr. Rhea and Mr. Rice. I don't see where this witness as a
- 9 rebuttal witness can offer evidence that rebuts anything as
- 10 to those conversations.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well --
- MR. MASTANDO: May I reply, Your Honor?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: The objection is overruled.
- 14 The Licensees' direct case asserts that Mr. Rice
- 15 was basically out of the loop except for the consultative
- 16 stuff that he did. And this is rebuttal to that direct
- 17 testimony. It doesn't have to be specific to -- it's, you
- 18 know.
- Ms. Cox testified that he was out of the loop.
- The direct case, all the documents that was submitted to the
- 21 Commission said that he was out of the loop, and this
- witness's testimony is that he wasn't out of the loop. And
- 23 so the objection is overruled I think it is proper
- 24 rebuttal.
- MR. GAFFNEY: If I might, Your Honor?

1	It isn't rebuttal testimony. It's the evidence						
2	that the Bureau should have put in in their case in chief.						
3	The burden of proof in this case is to show that the						
4	statements made in 165 reports were false. That would be to						
5	show that Mike Rice had no involvement. That's their case						
6	in chief.						
7	Then we put on a case of our own, and now we have						
8	rebuttal of our case. Not a revisitation of the direct case						
9	of the Bureau. And I think to bootstrap in this evidence						
10	that is supposed to be the burden of the Bureau's proof to						
11	have submitted in their direct case in a timely fashion,						
12	that to now use the back door of a rebuttal witness to put						
13	evidence in, which is direct case evidence, is improper.						
14	This witness is testifying, the nature of his						
15	evidence now is the Bureau's case in chief on which they						
16	have the burden of proof.						
17	Calling in a rebuttal witness doesn't change the						
18	nature of that evidence that he is now giving in this						
19	courtroom.						
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, you have your objection.						
21	The objection is overruled, and, you know, you can at the						
22	appropriate time file exceptions if you deem it necessary.						
23	But I think it's proper rebuttal. Frankly, it could be						
24	either, but I don't think it's improper to introduce it as						

rebuttal.

25

1	All right, you made your point.
2	Continue.
3	Oh, I think I was asking about the conversations
4	that you had while Ms. Cox was firing Mr. Savage. You and
5	Mr. Rhea and Mr. Rice were talking, and I think I asked you
6	to summarize those conversations, because you said something
7	came up in that some statements were made in that
8	conversation.
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, the statement that Mike had
10	made about having Janet do that all the time because he
11	couldn't anymore.
12	And that goes back to the question that he asked
13	about was I ever told by management if Mike could be
14	involved with the station, and that's where that statement
15	comes from.
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: And you said "the question he
17	asked." Are you talking about Mr. Mastando?
18	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
19	Yes, that he asked about whether or not I was ever
20	told by management, and Mike was the only one that ever
21	said anything of that nature. And that is the that's one
22	particular instance that I can recall where that statement
23	was made.
24	BY MR. MASTANDO:

25

Q

After Mark Savage was let go, would you tell us

- about the return trip to St Peters?
- And in that return trip did you have -- did you
- 3 overhear any conversations between Janet Cox and Michael
- 4 Rice?
- 5 A The return trip I remember more specifically
- 6 because instead of more random radio discussions, or
- 7 industry discussions, or whatever, it was a more specific
- 8 pow-wow meeting. It was a meeting essentially.
- 9 And I was driving and Mike was in the front seat,
- and Janet was in the middle seat of our mini-van. Ours, I'm
- sorry, my family's mini-van. And Mike was having a
- 12 conversation with Janet about John Rhea. He was not pleased
- with the way that John -- John Rhea's lack of motivation of
- the sales staff. They thought they were a couple of losers,
- to use his word, on the sales staff as well. And
- specifically told Janet that "your guy has got to go." He
- 17 said "your guy as got to go" because it was referring -- I
- 18 had not known that until that point that Janet was the one
- that actually hired John Rhea, recruited him and hired him.
- And so when Mike said "your guy has got to go,"
- 21 that's kind of a Mike Rice phrase, and he was like real
- shortly thereafter.
- 23 Mike said that -- I felt kind of weird being in
- that situation because granted I was doing some corporate
- stuff with the programming, but it felt strange to have, you

- 1 know, the owner and the CEO having a meeting right there
- where you can't help but hear everything that's going on.
- And even if you try to avoid hearing, you can't help but
- 4 hear it, and that's kind of a peculiar situation to be in
- 5 when you are an employee.
- 6 And I don't know whether Mike noticed that I felt
- 7 uncomfortable or what, and he just said something, you know,
- 8 like, "Oh, we have these little meetings all the time,"
- 9 something of that nature
- And that seemed to make me ease up a little more.
- I just felt uncomfortable in that setting as an employee.
- 12 But I still -- I still felt uncomfortable.
- 13 Q Did he say anything else to you about his
- 14 conversations with Janet Cox?
- 15 A You mean other conversations?
- Q Well, did he imply
- MR. GAFFNEY: Objection, Your Honor. That's
- 18 leading.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That started to be leading. So
- 20 why don't you just re -- you know.
- 21 MR. GAFFNEY: And if he finishes, it will be too
- 22 late, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's true.
- BY MR. MASTANDO:
- Q On the trip home, did Michael Rice speak to you

- about the nature of the conversations he's been having with
- 2 Janet Cox?
- A I don't believe on the ride home, he didn't. I
- 4 mean, there were other times, you know, whenever I would
- 5 discuss management type things with Janet, you know, and
- 6 management of people or circumstances like that. And then,
- you know, a few days later I would be talking to Mike or
- 8 something.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. They are talking, the
- 10 attorneys.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's okay. The lawyers can
- 12 talk and pay attention to you at the same time. They are
- 13 like mothers.
- 14 THE WITNESS: When you are a disc jockey, you are
- 15 kind of self-centered and you have to -- you know.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Judges have that too. Judges
- can also give the appearance of sleeping and paying
- attention at the same time. It's a unique talent.
- THE WITNESS: What I was going to say is I was
- frequently surprised by conversations that I would have with
- Janet about, you know, promotions or personnel type things
- as far as management of personnel. And then talk to Mike a
- 23 day or two later and share the information with him as well,
- 24 and him already know it.
- And I said, "Well, how did you know that?" And he

- would say something, you know, "Well, Janet always keeps me
- 2 up to date, always keeps me informed," that kind of thing.
- 3 And I heard that on several occasions because it happened so
- 4 frequently.
- 5 BY MR. MASTANDO:
- 6 Q Did he characterize the conversations he had with
- 7 Janet Cox in the van?
- 8 A Well, you know, it was, you know, these little
- 9 meetings or little pow-wows. We have these little pow-wows
- all the time, or we have these little meetings. Pow-wow is
- a real popular word with Mike and I think I have adapted
- that to my vocabulary as well
- Q Did he mention that he tried to keep these
- meetings hidden from other people?
- MR. GAFFNEY: Objection?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well.
- MR. GAFFNEY: He has asked him five questions
- about what Mike Rice said. Now he is putting words in the
- 19 witness's mouth.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I will sustain that.
- BY MR. MASTANDO:
- Q Okay, what -- what relationship did you have with
- 23 Michael Rice prior to 1991, before he went into the
- 24 hospital.
- A Well, as a disc jockey, he was, you know, the

- owner of the station. He would come into town and kind of
- 2 pat everybody -- would pat everybody on the bank, kind of a
- morale booster. That was from a disc jockey perspective.
- As a program director, he was -- I mean, he was as
- 5 involved as he ever had been, and that was, you know, in
- 6 respect of, you know, watching what I was doing as far as
- 7 the new music that I -- the quantity of new music I was
- 8 adding, critiquing the station, critiquing disc jockeys.
- 9 You know, reminding me to keep morale high among the ranks,
- as he would say, and things of that nature.
- I mean, I quess I am uncertain what you are asking
- because they were -- both circumstances were the same. The
- only time that there was a desperate need for Mike, I think,
- was when he was in the hospital, because I no longer had
- that contact, you know, with him to discuss -- Mike has a
- good programming mind.
- 17 Q So --
- 18 A I'm sorry. I didn't get to finish that.
- I didn't have the chance to discuss programming
- things with him because he's a pretty bright programming
- 21 guy.
- 22 Q After he left the hospital, did his level of
- 23 involvement change?
- 24 A The level, yeah, just because we got involved with
- 25 the Terre Haute station. So there was more contact because

- we weren't just talking about the station I was programming
- anymore. We were talking about WZZQ and talent for the
- whole company, and things of that nature.
- So, so the kind of involvement was the same, but
- 5 there was more involvement just because we were doing more
- 6 projects.
- 7 Q What did you understand Michael Rice's authority
- 8 to be after April 1991?
- 9 A He was the owner of the station.
- 10 O And?
- 11 A I mean, I was never told otherwise here.
- 12 Q Did any management or other owners of Lake
- Broadcasting ever tell you not to do what Michael Rice
- 14 directed you to do because he was excluded from the
- 15 management or operations?
- 16 MR. GAFFNEY: Objection. There is no foundation
- for this. He's talking about unidentified people, other
- 18 owners. The witness has just testified that he was only
- told that Mike was the owner, and was never told otherwise.
- 20 That's his testimony on the record.
- 21 JUDGE STEINBERG: No. I think he mentioned Lake
- 22 Broadcasting too. He didn't mention Contemporary.
- But if you want to break it down and say did Janet
- 24 Cox ever tell you -- who was your general manager of the
- 25 station you worked at?

- 1 THE WITNESS: During this time frame?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: After '91, after Mr. Rice left
- 3 the hospital.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Oh, that was Richard Hauschild.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you want to say did Mr.
- 6 Hauschild ever tell you, did you ever attend a meeting where
- 7 Mr. Hauschild or Janet Cox; you know, roll in the other
- 8 owners. I don't think there are any other owners of
- 9 Contemporary but there are other owners of Lake. Mention
- 10 the names if you want to roll it -- I mean, ask separate
- 11 questions and get answers to each question.
- BY MR. MASTANDO:
- Q Did Malcolm Rice, Michael Rice's father, and a
- 14 director of the Contemporary Broadcasting --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: He knows who these people are.
- 16 Just ask the names. Make it as short and as simple as
- 17 possible.
- BY MR. MASTANDO:
- 19 Q Did Malcolm Rice ever tell you not to do what
- 20 Michael Rice directed you to do?
- 21 A No.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: After Rice left the hospital.
- MR. MASTANDO: Thank you, Your Honor.
- THE WITNESS: No. No. he did not.
- 25 //

7	PV	MD	MASTANDO:
.1.	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{I}$	1111	LITAD LATITUDO .

- 2 Q Did Ken Kunzie ever tell you not to do what
- 3 Michael Rice directed you to do after Michael Rice left the
- 4 hospital?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Did Dennis Clautser ever tell you not to do what
- 7 Michael Rice told you to do after Michael Rice left the
- 8 hospital in 1991?
- 9 A No. Dennis Clautser, that's Casey van Allen, is
- 10 that -- okay. No, he did not either.
- 11 Q Did John Rhea ever tell you not to do what Michael
- 12 Rice told you to do after April of 1991?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Did Ken Brown ever tell you not do what Michael
- Rice told you to do after April of 1991?
- 16 A Nobody ever told me not to listen to what Mike
- 17 Rice said.
- 18 O To include Janet Cox?
- 19 A Oh, absolutely.
- Janet Cox, Richard Hauschild, who was the other
- 21 manager right before John Rhea that may have been earlier
- 22 too -- Mike, none of them ever told me not to listen to what
- 23 Mike Rice did. I mean, he was the owner of the station.
- 24 What he said went.
- 25 Q Did Janet Cox ever come to the station after

- 1 Michael Rice had been hospitalized and speak to the staff?
- 2 A Yes, actually because everybody at the station
- 3 had, well, first of all, the chain of events was really
- 4 horrible for the station because all the St. Louis news
- 5 stations got the stories about the charges that were -- I
- 6 guess the charges against Mike.
- 7 MR. GAFFNEY: Just an objection. This is going
- 8 way beyond the question. He asked him if there was a
- 9 meeting.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and he is putting it in
- 11 context. Right?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, sir. I'm sorry.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, you can put it in context
- and then answer the question.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Okay Morale was really bad because
- 16 these St. Louis stations were on cable in Columbia, and sc
- everybody saw the news about that whole circumstance. And
- then we found out, you know, again through the radio grape
- 19 vine that Mike had been hospitalized. And so with the
- 20 combinations of the charges and the hospitalization we were
- 21 all like, jeez, are we still going to have jobs? Is this
- 22 place going to close? So there was serious morale problems.
- 23 So based upon that then Janet Cox came to the
- 24 station and conducted an entire staff meeting, and --
- 25 //

1	BY MR	MASTANDO ·

- 2 Q What did she say at the staff meeting?
- 3 A She informed us that she was now CEO of the
- 4 company, and that she had been appointed CEO by the board of
- 5 directors. I didn't even know the station had a board of
- 6 directors. And, you know, so it was a learning experience
- 7 for all of us. But she told us to -- that she was CEO of
- 8 the company, and that the station was not going to close.
- 9 It was not going to lose its license. Business was good.
- 10 Things were fine. Everybody has got their jobs. No need to
- 11 worry; no need to panic.
- 12 Q Did she say what role Michael Rice had in the
- organization at that point?
- 14 A No. No, she just said that she was CEO of the
- 15 company.
- Q Did she say he had been excluded or was pulled
- from the operation, day-to-day control?
- 18 A No, I don't recall anything like that.
- 19 O Did she mention a board resolution that had been
- 20 passed to exclude Michael Rice from his positions?
- 21 A I don't remember that The only thing that she
- 22 said about a board was a board of directors, or the board of
- 23 directors or something had appointed her CEO. That's all
- 24 that I -- that's all that I recall from that, regarding
- 25 that, you know, any kind of like corporate structure or

- 1 anything.
- 2 Q As a program director for KFMZ and a group program
- director for the larger entitles, were you responsible for
- 4 evaluating or firing, hiring announcers as well as other
- 5 program directors?
- 6 A I was not responsible for the actual hiring of
- 7 program directors at the WZZQ station. But when it came to
- 8 disc jockeys, I was responsible at KFMZ for, you know,
- 9 hiring, firing, training, managing the air staff.
- 10 At WZZQ, I did recruit and essentially hired -- I
- 11 say I essentially hired somebody. I gave them what their
- dollar figures were going to be, and then I told them "But
- 13 you have to talk to Todd Hohlman because he is the program
- director there and he needs to officially hire you." That's
- a real common trend in the company. It's like, well, you
- have got to do this instead. And then they were actually
- 17 hired by Todd Hohlman in that case. But essentially she was
- 18 hired by me.
- 19 And so that's the extent of actual hiring of a
- disc jockey that I did over at WZZQ, with the exception, I
- 21 guess, of Ben Jacobs, who I had hired at KFMZ originally and
- then promoted over there.
- Q Do you recall Janice Pratt?
- 24 A Yes, she was an overnight person on the air at
- 25 KFMZ.

- 1 Q Did Rice ever talk to you about Janice Pratt's job
- performance?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: This is Mike Rice?
- 5 MR. MASTANDO: Did I
- THE WITNESS: Mike Rice Michael. I'm sorry. I
- 7 always called him Mike.
- 8 BY MR. MASTANDO:
- 9 Q Did Michael Rice ever talk to you about her job
- 10 performance? And you said yes.
- My question was, what did he say to you about her
- job performance?
- 13 A He said that -- he talked about how bad she
- sounded on the air; that she screeches or squawks, and
- 15 wondered if she sounded like that when she had sex and all
- this kind of stuff. I don't know I didn't think it was
- 17 really too appropriate. But Mike wanted me to let her go
- 18 because he thought that she was really hurting our
- 19 overnight.
- 20 So I started doing a search to replace her, but
- 21 that got kind of derailed because I had another problem in
- there as well
- 23 Q So you said that Michael Rice directed you to fire
- 24 Janice Pratt; is that correct
- JUDGE STEINBERG: No, he didn't say that.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Well, he told me to.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay You said Mike wanted to
- 3 let her go.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Okay
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And I think the transcript will
- 6 bear me out. That's different.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I thought he said Mike wanted me to
- 8 let her go.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's what I said you said.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Okay
- BY MR. MASTANDO:
- 12 Q What did Mike say to you at that time, to the best
- of your recollection?
- 14 A I think that was the best of my recollection, is
- Mike wanted me to let her go. I mean, it was -- it was --
- 16 essentially was -- I mean, there was no question that that's
- 17 what I had to do. I mean, that was my job.
- 18 Q So did Michael Rice direct you to fire Janice
- 19 Pratt?
- 20 A Yes, that's what yeah, that was definitely a
- 21 directive.
- Q And when did he give you this directive? When did
- 23 he mention this?
- 24 A It was around, I think, May or June of '92.
- Q Well, did you fire her at that time?

- 1 A No, I didn't.
- Q Why is that?
- A Well, I had -- it's a weird circumstance. But I
- 4 had -- started looking for somebody for the overnight
- 5 position. And when you are programming, the first thing you
- 6 do is go to your weekender and your part-time pool of
- 7 employees and see if there is somebody there that you can
- 8 promote to overnights, to develop them for some of the other
- 9 day parts at some point.
- And so I started talking to a guy named Bob
- 11 Kinneson who was working Saturday nights at the station.
- 12 And I was talking to him about taking over the position. A
- couple weeks later Mike called and told me to get rid of Bib
- 14 Kinneson because he thought that Bob Kinneson was bringing
- down the Saturday nights. I mean, Saturday nights is
- supposed to be kind of an up party type of thing, and Bob
- was -- Bob is kind of a softer adult contemporary type of
- disc jockey, who I thought would have been very appropriate
- 19 for overnights.
- So I started a search then for both the overnight
- and the weekend position to get rid of both Bob and Janice.
- You know, when you are recruiting talent, I guess it's
- 23 easier to replace two than one. Sc I quess there is some
- 24 wisdom to that.
- Bob ended up leaving, and going to another station

- across town. And Janice was still there and I had not found
- anybody yet to replace her. Secondly, with Janice, I had
- 3 problems firing -- there was no way that I could tell her
- 4 why I was firing her. I can't say she screeched on the air.
- 5 I mean, that's just not right
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why can't you say that? You
- 7 screech on the air, you sound lousy. I mean, I would be
- 8 lousy.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I thought that was inappropriate. I
- mean, I didn't feel I had like any documentation to back it
- 11 up. I mean, the company was real documentation oriented. I
- mean, they wanted to make sure that if somebody was fired
- there was good enough reason. And if they were fired for
- any policy infractions, that there were warnings. And so I
- had to generate that paper work by -- honestly, heavily
- 16 scrutinizing.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, you just can't -- I mean,
- the in the radio business you can't say "We hired you for
- 19 this position. You are on the air, and you just sound
- lousy, and we are going to have to let you go because you
- 21 sound lousy, you screech?"
- THE WITNESS: Well, from the knowledge that Mike
- and Janet have always shared with me in the management of
- 24 people, you can't do it that way. You have to make sure you
- 25 have your paper trail.

- JUDGE STEINBERG: And do you create one if it's
- 2 not there?
- 3 THE WITNESS: I don't
- So it took awhile for me to get rid of her, but I
- 5 did. And she essentially gave me the reason. She was late
- for work all the time. So I gave her a verbal warning.
- 7 Then a written warning that she signed, and I fired her.
- 8 But it took until, I'm thinking late September or October of
- 9 '92. So it took a few months I mean, I was -- and Mike
- wasn't happy about that either. He told me after I let her
- go, "Well, you should have done that a long time ago."
- 12 I would say if I was ever criticized by Mike, that
- was the only criticism that I really got in my work.
- BY MR. MASTANDO:
- 15 Q So was it your idea originally to fire Janice
- 16 Pratt?
- 17 A Originally, no, not at all. Because the overnight
- position is a development position. I mean, you are
- developing these talents, and my thinking was that so what,
- 20 she screeches on the air, or however else it was
- 21 characterized. To me that doesn't matter. My job was to
- 22 work with her and train her and make her better. That's the
- 23 way I looked at it. And she had been there about two years,
- 24 and we still -- I still continued working with her.
- And granted, that portion of it wasn't getting any

- better, but her organization of thoughts and things of that
- 2 nature, and delivery on the air was fine. So I didn't see a
- 3 reason to fire her. So I had to look for one. And I'm not
- 4 happy. I'm sorry. I am not happy about that.
- 5 Q Were you directed by Michael Rice to seek a
- 6 replacement for Janice Pratt?
- 7 A Yes
- 8 Q What did he say?
- 9 A To seek a replacement?
- Oh, to the best of my memory, that was the -- you
- need to let her go, you need to get rid of her, or something
- 12 to that effect. She needs to go. I mean, those are --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: This was after he -- after his
- 14 hospitalization?
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, this would have -- yeah, cause
- this would have been in late spring of '92, probably; May or
- 17 June, I'm thinking.
- BY MR. MASTANDO:
- 19 Q Was it your idea to fire Robert Kinneson?
- 20 A No, because I -- I mean, I had been talking to him
- 21 about taking Janice Pratt's place. So I -- I kind of lost
- 22 two disc jockeys at one time there without, without me doing
- 23 it.
- 24 Q So after you received Michael Rice's comments
- about Robert Kinneson, did you intend to fire Robert

-	**	•					\sim
1	κ	٦	nr	വ 🕰	C	on	٠,
+	Τ/	ㅗ	TTT	.10-	w	\sim 11	

- A Absolutely, yes. I started looking for a part
- 3 timer right away, in the same way that I had with Janice. I
- 4 immediately started looking for somebody to replace her, and
- 5 then I ended up having to do a two-person search there.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Couldn't you say, "Mr. Rice,
- 7 respectfully, it's my belief that Mr. Kinneson is doing a
- 8 fine job, and we as a matter of fact, I considered him for
- 9 a promotion, and I don't think we ought to let him go"?
- THE WITNESS: No. I couldn't have.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Why?
- THE WITNESS: Once Mike made up his mind, it was
- made up.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: And how did you know that?
- THE WITNESS: Because it had never been changed
- 16 before.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did you try?
- THE WITNESS: On the few different items,
- including Ben Jacobs at WZZQ, I thought Ben was young and he
- 20 would work out well. I tried to change his mind. I
- 21 couldn't.
- I felt the same about Todd Hohlman. He had bad
- organizational skills, he wasn't very good at organizational
- 24 type skills, but he knew the Terre Haute market really well,
- 25 and I thought that was the best advantage that a program